Maximizing Mobile Coverage via Optimal Deployment of Base Station and Relays

Xu Li, Dongning Guo, John Grosspietsch, Huarui Yin, Guo Wei

arXiv:1408.6605v1 [cs.IT] 28 Aug 2014

Abstract

Deploying relays and/or mobile base stations is a major means of extending the coverage of a wireless network. This paper presents models, analytical results, and algorithms to answer two related questions: The first is where to deploy relays in order to extend the reach from a base station to the maximum; the second is where to deploy a mobile base station and how many relays are needed to reach any point in a given area. Simple time-division and frequency-division scheduling schemes as well as an end-to-end data rate requirement are assumed. An important use case of the results is in the Public Safety Broadband Network, in which deploying relays and mobile base stations is often crucial to provide coverage to an incident scene.

I. Introduction

Even with today's seemingly ubiquitous wireless access, many areas and corners are not fully covered by existing networks. There is often no cellular connection in the basement level of large buildings and in remote unpopulated areas. The existing cellular infrastructure may also be knocked out of service for periods of times in areas hit by disasters [1]–[5]. Relays can be used to extend the wireless coverage of, e.g., a cellular network [6], [7]. To extend the coverage of

This work was supported in part by Motorola Center for Seamless Communications at Northwestern University.

X. Li is with Department of Electrical Engineering and Information Science, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230027, China and he is a visiting Ph.D. student at Northwestern University from September 2013 to August 2014 supported by China Scholarship Council. Email: lixu@mail.ustc.edu.cn. D. Guo is with Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60201, USA. Email: dGuo@northwestern.edu. J. Grosspietsch was with Enterprise Mobility Solutions Research at Motorola Solutions, Inc., Schaumburg, IL 60196, USA. Email: john.k.grosspietsch@ieee.org. H. Yin and G. Wei are with Department of Electrical Engineering and Information Science, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230027, China. Email: yhr@ustc.edu.cn, wei@ustc.edu.cn.

the Public Safety Broadband Network[1] with manageable cost, it has been proposed that mobile base stations are sent to incident scenes along with public safety personnel [8]. An important question to consider is how to optimally deploy relays and mobile base stations.

The base station, relays and the destination form a multi-hop device-to-device (D2D) network to extend the wireless coverage [9]–[12]. Most literatures have studied the conditions where the communication range of all links are independent. When each guard can monitor unlimited range but has no vision through the wall, reference [13] studied the deployment of the guards to cover an art gallery with n walls, i.e., an n-vertex polygon which is nonconvex in general. When each sensor can monitor an arbitrary limited range, the optimal deployment patterns for full coverage and k-connectivity were studied in [14]–[16]. The numerical deployment algorithms with a minimum number of sensors to provide full coverage were discussed in [17]–[22]. When the transmit range of each device is limited by the allocated energy, average traffic data and lifetime requirement, the optimal positions of the base station and the relays for maximizing the system lifetime were considered in [23]–[25].

In a practical multi-hop D2D network, the communication range of all links are interrelated and mutually determined by the resources allocation scheme and the quality of service (QoS) requirement. With fixed distance between the base station and the destination, the optimal positions of the relays minimize the end-to-end outage probability in [26]–[28], or maximize the end-to-end data rate in [29]. Few papers have studied the optimal positions of the relays for maximizing the distance between the base station and the destination subject to a QoS requirement. Reference [30] studied the case with a single relay and an outage probability requirement. This paper studies the general case with multiple relays and an end-to-end data rate requirement. The resources are shared using either time-division or frequency-division scheduling scheme, and both the deployment of relays and a mobile base station are discussed.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the network models. Section III introduces the channel model.

[1]The Public Safety Broadband Network, conceived to be a single, connected, universal network for all public safety purposes, is currently being planned and tested in the U.S.

Section IV studies the deployment of relays. Specifically, the relays are deployed between the base station and the destination. We analytically determine the optimal positions of the relays, so that the reach to the destination is maximized subject to the end-to-end data rate requirement. When the number of relays is small, the maximum reach increases with the number of relays. But beyond a certain number, deploying more relays does not provide further improvement (in fact, it decreases the maximum reach if the same QoS needs to be maintained).

Section V studies the deployment of a mobile base station. Specifically, the mobile base station is deployed to cover an arbitrary polygon, which may or may not be convex. Both the general case where the base station can be located anywhere and the situation where the base station is constrained to be outside or on the boundary of the polygon are considered. We propose efficient algorithms to compute the optimal position of the mobile base station, so that the minimum signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of any point over the entire region is maximized. If the polygon can not be covered by the mobile base station alone, relays are deployed to extend the coverage. The goal here is limited to reaching any point in the region, rather than covering the entire region at the same time.

Section VI shows numerical results. Concluding remarks are given in Section VII.

II. Network Models

A. Network Model with Relays

The network model with relays is shown in Fig. 1, where $K-1$ relays are deployed between the base station and the destination. At each relay, signals from both directions are fully decoded and re-transmitted, so that the base station, relays and the destination form a two-way multi-hop decode-and-forward (DF) D2D network.

Without loss of generality, we let relays be located along the line segment that connects the base station and the destination. The $K+1$ devices are connected by K segments and the length of the k-th segment is d_k in meters for $k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$. The transmit power of the k-th forward and backward links are p_k and q_k. The total bandwidth is W. The end-to-end data rate requirement of the forward and backward links are B and C, respectively.



Fig. 1. Network model with relays.



Fig. 2. Network model with a mobile base station.

We study where the relays should be deployed, so that the reach to the destination is maximized subject to the end-to-end data rate requirement.

B. Network Model with a Mobile Base Station

The network model with a mobile base station is shown in Fig. 2, where the mobile base station is deployed to cover an arbitrary polygon, which may or may not be convex. We first consider the case where the mobile base station can be deployed anywhere. We then consider the case where the base station is constrained to be outside or on the boundary of the polygon, which could be the situation at an incident scene.

In the case that only the mobile base station is deployed, we study where it should be deployed, so that the minimum SNR of any point in the entire region is maximized. Due to the end-to-end data rate requirement, part of the polygon may be beyond the coverage of the mobile base station. In that case, relays are deployed to extend the coverage, where the optimal positions of the mobile base station and the relays need to be determined.

III. Channel Model

In the DF network, an outage event is declared whenever the SNR of any link falls below a prescribed threshold. In other words, the end-to-end data rate is dominated by the weakest link.

A. Fading Model

We use Rayleigh fading model for links between nearby devices [31]. Let the channel power gain of the k-th segment be:

$$h = Ad_k^{-\alpha}\phi \tag{1}$$

where A is a constant value that considers shadowing and antenna gain, α is the path loss exponent, ϕ denotes the power gain of the Rayleigh fading channel, which follows the exponential distribution with unit mean, d_k is the distance introduced in Section II-A.

B. End-to-End Data Rate

We consider uniform time-division and frequency-division scheduling schemes for allocating the resources, which are simple and guarantee robustness much needed in the Public Safety Broadband Network. Understanding of these simple schemes is also the first step toward more sophisticated solutions involving spectrum sharing.

Under uniform time division, each link uses a $\frac{1}{2K}$ fraction of the time and the total bandwidth. The SNR of the k-th forward and backward links defined as γ_k and τ_k are:

$$\gamma_k = \frac{p_k A\phi}{d_k^{\alpha} W\sigma^2} \tag{2a}$$

$$\tau_k = \frac{q_k A\phi}{d_k^{\alpha} W\sigma^2} \tag{2b}$$

where σ^2 is the power spectral density of the white Gaussian noise, p_k and q_k are the transmit power and W is the bandwidth introduced in Section II-A.

The end-to-end outage probability of the forward and backward links are [28]:

$$\mathrm{P}[\min(\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_K) \le \gamma] = 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{K} \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma d_k^{\alpha} W\sigma^2}{p_k A}\right) \tag{3a}$$

$$\mathrm{P}[\min(\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_K) \le \tau] = 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{K} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau d_k^{\alpha} W\sigma^2}{q_k A}\right) \tag{3b}$$

where γ and τ are the prescribed SNR threshold of the forward and backward links.

The end-to-end data rate of the forward and backward links under time division defined as B^{t} and C^{t} are:

$$B^{\mathrm{t}} = \frac{W}{2K}\log(1+\gamma)\mathrm{P}[\min(\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_K)\geq\gamma] = \frac{W}{2K}\log(1+\gamma)\prod_{k=1}^{K}\exp\left(-\frac{\gamma d_k^{\alpha}W\sigma^2}{p_k A}\right) \tag{4a}$$

$$C^{\mathrm{t}} = \frac{W}{2K}\log(1+\tau)\mathrm{P}[\min(\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_K)\geq\tau] = \frac{W}{2K}\log(1+\tau)\prod_{k=1}^{K}\exp\left(-\frac{\tau d_k^{\alpha}W\sigma^2}{q_k A}\right). \tag{4b}$$

Under uniform frequency division, each link uses a $\frac{1}{2K}$ fraction of the bandwidth and the total time. Similarly, the end-to-end data rate of the forward and backward links under frequency division defined as B^{f} and C^{f} are:

$$B^{\mathrm{f}} = \frac{W}{2K}\log(1+\gamma)\prod_{k=1}^{K}\exp\left(-\frac{\gamma d_k^{\alpha}W\sigma^2}{2Kp_k A}\right) \tag{5a}$$

$$C^{\mathrm{f}} = \frac{W}{2K}\log(1+\tau)\prod_{k=1}^{K}\exp\left(-\frac{\tau d_k^{\alpha}W\sigma^2}{2Kq_k A}\right) \tag{5b}$$

whose exponential parts are $\frac{1}{2K}$ of those in (4).

Under power constraint, the end-to-end data rate achieved according to (5) under frequency division are higher than those achieved according to (4) under time division. Under energy constraint, the end-to-end data rate achieved according to (5) under frequency division are identical to those achieved according to (4) under time division with p_k and q_k replaced by $2Kp_k$ and $2Kq_k$, respectively.

In the amplify-and-forward (AF) network, each relay amplifies and forwards the signals from both directions. Under the same resources allocation schemes, each link sees no interference. But the noise in previous links is amplified, so that the SNR in AF network decreases with the number of links. Then the end-to-end SNR is smaller than that of the weakest link in AF network, which is also smaller than that of the weakest link in DF network. Hence DF outperforms AF as far as maximizing the reach is concerned.

IV. The Deployment of Relays

In the case of the deployment of relays, $K-1$ relays are deployed between the base station and the destination. The optimal distance tuple $(d_1, \ldots, d_K)$ maximizes the reach to the destination subject to the end-to-end data rate requirement. Once the optimal distance tuple is computed, the optimal positions of the relays are straightforward.

A. The General Cases

Under time division, the end-to-end data rate expressed as (4) should be no less than the data rate requirement B, C introduced in Section II-A. The optimization problem to find the optimal distance tuple $(d_1, \ldots, d_K)$ is:

$$\underset{d_1,\ldots,d_K}{\text{maximize}} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{K} d_k \tag{6a}$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \frac{W}{2K}\log(1+\gamma)\prod_{k=1}^{K}\exp\left(-\frac{\gamma d_k^{\alpha} W\sigma^2}{p_k A}\right) \geq B \tag{6b}$$

$$\frac{W}{2K}\log(1+\tau)\prod_{k=1}^{K}\exp\left(-\frac{\tau d_k^{\alpha} W\sigma^2}{q_k A}\right) \geq C \tag{6c}$$

which can be rewritten as:

$$\underset{d_1,\ldots,d_K}{\text{maximize}} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{K} d_k \tag{7a}$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{d_k^{\alpha}}{p_k} \leq b \tag{7b}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{d_k^{\alpha}}{q_k} \leq c \tag{7c}$$

where

$$b = \frac{A}{\gamma W\sigma^2}\log\left(\frac{W\log(1+\gamma)}{2KB}\right) \tag{8a}$$

$$c = \frac{A}{\tau W\sigma^2}\log\left(\frac{W\log(1+\tau)}{2KC}\right). \tag{8b}$$

The optimization problem (7) is convex and the associated *Lagrangian* is [32]:

$$L(d_1,\ldots,d_K,\lambda,\nu) = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} d_k + \lambda\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{d_k^{\alpha}}{p_k} - b\right) + \nu\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{d_k^{\alpha}}{q_k} - c\right) \tag{9}$$

where λ and ν are the *Lagrangian* multipliers.

Let $d_1^*,\ldots,d_K^*$, λ^* and ν^* be the optimal solutions. The *Karush-Kuhn-Tucker* (KKT) conditions are:

$$\lambda^* \geq 0 \tag{10a}$$

$$\nu^* \geq 0 \tag{10b}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{(d_k^*)^{\alpha}}{p_k} - b \leq 0 \tag{10c}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{(d_k^*)^{\alpha}}{q_k} - c \leq 0 \tag{10d}$$

$$\lambda^*\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{(d_k^*)^{\alpha}}{p_k} - b\right) = 0 \tag{10e}$$

$$\nu^*\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{(d_k^*)^{\alpha}}{q_k} - c\right) = 0 \tag{10f}$$

$$\frac{\partial L(d_1^*,\ldots,d_K^*,\lambda^*,\nu^*)}{\partial d_k^*} = 0, k = 1,\ldots,K. \tag{10g}$$

The solutions of (10g) are:

$$d_k^* = \left(\frac{p_k q_k}{\alpha\lambda^* q_k + \alpha\nu^* p_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}, k = 1,\ldots,K. \tag{11}$$

We find all feasible distance tuples $(d_1,\ldots,d_K)$ satisfying the KKT conditions (10) and the one with the maximum sum distance is the optimal distance tuple. The solutions of the KKT conditions (10) have three possible cases.

The first case is that $\lambda^* = 0$ and $\nu^* > 0$. Using (10f) and (11), ν^* satisfies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{q_k^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}{(\alpha\nu^*)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}} = c. \tag{12}$$

The left side of (12) decreases with ν^*, so that it is easy to obtain the unique solution of (12). Then the distance tuple is computed by (11). If the distance tuple satisfies (10c), it is a feasible solution of the KKT conditions.

The second case is that $\lambda^* > 0$ and $\nu^* = 0$. Using (10e) and (11), λ^* satisfies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{p_k^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}{(\alpha\lambda^*)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}} = b. \tag{13}$$

Similarly, it is easy to obtain the unique solution of (13). Then the distance tuple is computed by (11). If the distance tuple satisfies (10d), it is a feasible solution of the KKT conditions.

The third case is that $\lambda^* > 0$ and $\nu^* > 0$. Using (10e), (10f) and (11), λ^* and ν^* satisfy

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{p_k^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} q_k^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{(\alpha\lambda^* q_k + \alpha\nu^* p_k)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}} = b \tag{14a}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{p_k^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} q_k^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}{(\alpha\lambda^* q_k + \alpha\nu^* p_k)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}} = c. \tag{14b}$$

Functions (14a) and (14b) both decrease with λ^* and ν^*, so that it is not difficult to obtain the solution. Then the feasible distance tuple satisfying the KKT conditions is computed by (11).

Algorithm 1 Computing the optimal positions of the relays

1: **Input:** A, B, C, W, α, σ^2, p_k, q_k, for $k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$.
2: **Output:** $(d_1, \ldots, d_K)$.
3: Compute the feasible distance tuple in the case of $\lambda^* = 0$ and $\nu^* > 0$ using (10c), (11) and (12).
4: Compute the feasible distance tuple in the case of $\lambda^* > 0$ and $\nu^* = 0$ using (10d), (11) and (13).
5: Compute the feasible distance tuple in the case of $\lambda^* > 0$ and $\nu^* > 0$ using (11) and (14).
6: Compare all feasible distance tuples and **return** the one with the maximum sum distance.

The numerical method for computing the optimal distance tuple for maximizing the reach to the destination is summarized as Algorithm 1. If either $\lambda^* = 0$ or $\nu^* = 0$, the solution of (12) or (13) is unique, so that there is no more than one feasible distance tuple. If $\lambda^* > 0$ and $\nu^* > 0$, the solution of (14) with non-identical parameters is typically unique or null, so is the number of the feasible distance tuple. Then the complexity of Algorithm 1 is the comparison of no more

than 3 feasible distance tuples.

Under frequency division, the end-to-end data rate expressed as (5) should be no less than the data rate requirement. The optimization problem to find the optimal distance tuple $(d_1, \ldots, d_K)$ is:

$$\underset{d_1,\ldots,d_K}{\text{maximize}} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{K} d_k \tag{15a}$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{d_k^\alpha}{p_k} \leq 2Kb \tag{15b}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{d_k^\alpha}{q_k} \leq 2Kc \tag{15c}$$

which is convex and similar to (7). Then following the same way as Algorithm 1, the optimal distance tuple for maximizing the reach to the destination is computed.

B. The Cases with Identical Parameters

In the following, we study the cases with identical parameters, which shed more light on the intuition about how to optimally deploy relays for maximizing the reach to the destination. The identical parameters are $p_k = q_k = p$, $\gamma = \tau$ and $B = C$ for $k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$.

Proposition 1. *Under time division, the optimal distance of each link is* $\left(\frac{bp}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ *and the maximum reach to the destination is* $(bp)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} K^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}$. *Under frequency division, the optimal distance of each link is* $(2bp)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ *and the maximum reach to the destination is* $(2bp)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} K$.

Proof: Under time division, the constraints (7b) and (7c) are identical. The KKT conditions

become:

$$\lambda^* \geq 0 \tag{16a}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{(d_k^*)^\alpha}{p} - b \leq 0 \tag{16b}$$

$$\lambda^* \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{(d_k^*)^\alpha}{p} - b \right) = 0 \tag{16c}$$

$$d_k^* = \left(\frac{p}{\alpha \lambda^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}}, k = 1, \ldots, K \tag{16d}$$

whose feasible solution is unique with $\lambda^* > 0$. The optimal distance of each link and the maximum reach to the destination are:

$$d_1^* =, \ldots, = d_K^* = \left(\frac{bp}{K} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{17a}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} d_k^* = (bp)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} K^{\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}}. \tag{17b}$$

Under frequency division, the optimization problem (15) uses $2Kb$ instead of b in the optimization problem (7). Then using $2Kb$ instead of b in (17a) and (17b), the optimal distance of each link and the maximum reach to the destination are:

$$d_1^* =, \ldots, = d_K^* = (2bp)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{18a}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} d_k^* = (2bp)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} K. \tag{18b}$$

■

Proposition 2. *Let β be $\frac{W \log(1+\gamma)}{2B}$. Under time division, the optimal distance of each link decreases with K, and the maximum reach to the destination increases with K for $K \leq \lfloor \beta \exp\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right) \rfloor$, but decreases for $K \geq \lceil \beta \exp\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right) \rceil$. Under frequency division, the optimal distance of each link decreases with K, and the maximum reach to the destination increases with K for $K \leq \lfloor \beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \rfloor$, but decreases for $K \geq \lceil \beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \rceil$.*

Proof: Under time division, substituting (8) into (17), the optimal distance of each link is:

$$\left(\frac{bp}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{Ap}{\gamma W\sigma^2 K}\log\left(\frac{\beta}{K}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{19}$$

which decreases with K. The maximum reach to the destination is:

$$(bp)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}K^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{Ap}{\gamma W\sigma^2}\log\left(\frac{\beta}{K}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}K^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \tag{20}$$

which increases with K for $K \leq \lfloor \beta \exp\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)\rfloor$, but decreases for $K \geq \lceil \beta \exp\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)\rceil$.

Under frequency division, substituting (8) into (18), the optimal distance of each link is:

$$(2bp)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{2Ap}{\gamma W\sigma^2}\log\left(\frac{\beta}{K}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{21}$$

which decreases with K. The maximum reach to the destination is:

$$(2bp)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}K = \left(\frac{2Ap}{\gamma W\sigma^2}\log\left(\frac{\beta}{K}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}K \tag{22}$$

which increases with K for $K \leq \lfloor \beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\rfloor$, but decreases for $K \geq \lceil \beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\rceil$. ■

Therefore, when the number of relays is small, more relays increase the maximum reach to the destination. Beyond a certain number, deploying more relays not only does not provide further improvement, but decreases the maximum reach.

C. Discussions

In the following, we discuss the upper bound of the number of relays and the traffic types for general cases.

1) The Number of Relays: The fraction of the resources allocated to each link decreases with the number of relays, which may decrease the end-to-end data rate. In order to satisfy the end-to-end data rate requirement, the number of relays should be bounded.

Proposition 3. *Let ζ be $\frac{W\log(1+\tau)}{2C}$ and the number of relays is upper bounded by:*

$$K - 1 \leq \min\left(\lfloor \beta - 1\rfloor, \lfloor \zeta - 1\rfloor\right). \tag{23}$$

Proof: For the optimization problem (7) and (15), the parameters defined as b and c should be positive,

$$b = \frac{A}{\gamma W \sigma^2} \log\left(\frac{\beta}{K}\right) \geq 0 \tag{24a}$$

$$c = \frac{A}{\tau W \sigma^2} \log\left(\frac{\zeta}{K}\right) \geq 0. \tag{24b}$$

Hence (23) must hold. ■

Therefore, each additional relay adds to the maximum reach to the destination in general. But there must exist a certain number, beyond which deploying more relays is not necessary and does not provide further improvement in the maximum reach.

2) Traffic Types: For continuous traffic, each link starts transmission when it is scheduled. Regardless of under time division or frequency division, the resources are fully utilized. For bursty traffic, each link starts transmission as soon as the bursty package arrives. Under time division, the time resources could be fully utilized. Under frequency division, only one link is transmitting with a fraction of the spectrum while others are waiting for the bursty package, so that the spectrum resources are inefficiently utilized.

Therefore, according to the resources utilization, time division and frequency division are identical for continuous traffic, but time division outperforms frequency division for bursty traffic.

V. The Deployment of a Mobile Base Station

In the case of the deployment of a mobile base station, the mobile base station is deployed to cover an arbitrary polygon, which may or may not be convex. The optimal position of the mobile base station maximizes the minimum SNR of any point over the entire region. Both the general case where the base station can be located anywhere and the situation where the base station is constrained to be outside or on the boundary of the polygon are considered.

A. Without Place Restrictions

For isotropic channel and omni-directional antennas, the coverage of the mobile base station is a disk. The optimal position of the mobile base station for maximizing the minimum SNR of

any point over the entire polygon is the center of the minimum disk covering the polygon.

Proposition 4. *The optimal position of the mobile base station for covering the polygon is identical to the optimal position of the mobile base station for covering all vertices of the polygon.*

Proof: The minimum disk covering the polygon is defined as $\mathcal{D}$, which covers all vertices of the polygon. The minimum disk covering all vertices of the polygon is defined as $\mathcal{V}$, which should be proved to cover the entire polygon. Since the vertices are covered by $\mathcal{V}$, the edges of the polygon connecting any two adjacent vertices are covered, and hence also the line segments connecting any two points on the sides. Then the entire polygon is covered, so that $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ are the same disk. Therefore, the optimal position of the mobile base station for covering the polygon which maximizes the minimum SNR over any point in the entire polygon is identical to the optimal position of the mobile base station for covering all vertices of the polygon which maximizes the minimum SNR of any vertex of the polygon. ■

Following *Proposition 4*, we simplify the problem to find the center of the minimum disk covering all vertices of the polygon. Let the coordinate of the M vertices of the polygon be $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_M, y_M)$. The optimization problem to find the optimal position of the mobile base station is:

$$\underset{x,y}{\text{minimize}} \quad r \tag{25a}$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad (x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2 \leq r^2, i = 1 \ldots M \tag{25b}$$

which is a convex problem and (x, y) is the optimal position of the mobile base station. The optimal position of the mobile base station also maximizes the N-of-N system lifetime for homogeneous destinations[2] in [23]. Instead of using KKT conditions, we study the structure of the optimal position of the mobile base station to develop a more efficient numerical method.

In order to satisfy the constraint (25b), (x, y) locates in a disk whose center is the vertex

[2]With large number of homogeneous destinations uniformly distributed in the polygon, each destination communicates with the mobile base station. The N-of-N system lifetime is the time until any destinations run out of energy.



(a) (b)

Fig. 3. The optimal position of the mobile base station without place restrictions.

(x_i, y_i) and radius is r for $i \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$. If r is too small, the intersection of the M disks centered at $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_M, y_M)$ is empty. Let r increase and at the first time there is one point in the intersection, the point is the optimal position of the mobile base station. There are only two possible cases as far as the geometry of the problem is concerned, which are illustrated in Fig. 3. The coverage of the mobile base station is a disk, which could be determined by a diameter in Fig. 3(a) or three points on the boundary in Fig. 3(b). The optimal position of the mobile base station is marked by a star. We define $\mathcal{C}_i$ as the circle whose center is the vertex (x_i, y_i) and radius is r.

In Fig. 3(a), the optimal position of the mobile base station (x, y) is the sole intersection point of two circles $\mathcal{C}_i$ and $\mathcal{C}_j$. The line segment connecting the vertices (x_i, y_i) and (x_j, y_j) is a diameter of the coverage of the mobile base station. For two indexes $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$, the coordinate of the mobile base station is:

$$x = \frac{x_i + x_j}{2} \tag{26a}$$

$$y = \frac{y_i + y_j}{2}. \tag{26b}$$

In Fig. 3(b), the optimal position of the mobile base station is the sole intersection point of three circles $\mathcal{C}_i$, $\mathcal{C}_j$ and $\mathcal{C}_k$, which is also the center of the circumcircle of the triangle whose vertices are (x_i, y_i), (x_j, y_j) and (x_k, y_k). For three different indexes $i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$, the

coordinate of the mobile base station is:

$$x = \frac{(x_i^2 + y_i^2)(y_j - y_k) + (x_j^2 + y_j^2)(y_k - y_i) + (x_k^2 + y_k^2)(y_i - y_j)}{2x_i(y_j - y_k) + 2x_j(y_k - y_i) + 2x_k(y_i - y_j)} \tag{27a}$$

$$y = \frac{(x_i^2 + y_i^2)(x_k - x_j) + (x_j^2 + y_j^2)(x_i - x_k) + (x_k^2 + y_k^2)(x_j - x_i)}{2x_i(y_j - y_k) + 2x_j(y_k - y_i) + 2x_k(y_i - y_j)}. \tag{27b}$$

We find all candidate positions described by (26) and (27), and compute the maximum distance to all vertices for each candidate position as:

$$r = \max_{i \in \{1,\ldots,M\}} \sqrt{(x - x_i)^2 - (y - y_i)^2}. \tag{28}$$

The candidate position with the minimum maximum distance to all vertices is the optimal position of the mobile base station.

Algorithm 2 Computing the optimal position of the mobile base station without place restrictions

1: **Input:** (x_i, y_i) for $i \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$.
2: **Output:** (x, y).
3: Find the candidate positions using (26), (27).
4: Compute the maximum distance to all vertices for each candidate position using (28).
5: **Return** (x, y) which is the coordinate of the candidate position with the minimum maximum distance to all vertices.

The numerical method computes the optimal position of the mobile base station without place constrictions as Algorithm 2. The complexity is the comparison of no more than $\frac{1}{6}M^3 - \frac{1}{6}M$ candidate positions satisfying (26) or (27) where $M \geq 3$.

B. With Place Restrictions

When the mobile base station shall be deployed outside or on the boundary of the polygon, the optimization problem is changed to:

$$\underset{x,y}{\text{minimize}} \quad r \tag{29a}$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad (x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2 \leq r^2, i = 1 \ldots M \tag{29b}$$

$$(x, y) \notin \mathcal{I} \tag{29c}$$



Fig. 4. The optimal position of the mobile base station outside or on the boundary of the polygon.

which is a nonconvex problem in general and $\mathcal{I}$ stands for the interior of the polygon.

Similarly, let r increases and at the first time the intersection area of the M disks centered at $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_M, y_M)$ has one point outside or on the boundary of the polygon, the point is the optimal position of the mobile base station. There are only four possible cases which are illustrated in Fig. 4. The intersection area is the shadowed part and the optimal position of the mobile base station is marked by a star. In Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 4(b), the optimal position of the mobile base station is outside the polygon. In Fig. 4(c) and Fig. 4(d), the optimal position of the mobile base station is on the boundary of the polygon. We define $\mathcal{E}_i$ as the edge of the polygon connecting two adjacent vertices (x_i, y_i) and (x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) for $i \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$ where $(x_{M+1}, y_{M+1}) = (x_1, y_1)$.

In Fig. 4(a), the optimal position of the mobile base station (x, y) is the sole intersection point of two circles $\mathcal{C}_i$ and $\mathcal{C}_j$, and outside the polygon. For two non-adjacent indexes $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$, the coordinate of the mobile base station is described by (26).

In Fig. 4(b), the optimal position of the mobile base station (x, y) is the sole intersection point of three circles $\mathcal{C}_i$, $\mathcal{C}_j$ and $\mathcal{C}_k$, and outside the polygon. For three different indexes $i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$, the coordinate of the mobile base station is described by (27).

In Fig. 4(c), the optimal position of the mobile base station (x, y) is the intersection point of one circle $\mathcal{C}_j$ and one edge $\mathcal{E}_i$. The line segment connecting (x_j, y_j) and (x, y) is orthogonal to $\mathcal{E}_i$ and (x, y) is on $\mathcal{E}_i$. For three different indexes $i, i+1, j \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$, the coordinate of the mobile base station is:

$$x = \frac{x_j(x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 + (y_{i+1} - y_i)(x_i y_{i+1} + x_{i+1} y_j - x_i y_j - x_{i+1} y_i)}{(x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 + (y_{i+1} - y_i)^2} \tag{30a}$$

$$y = \frac{y_j(y_{i+1} - y_i)^2 + (x_{i+1} - x_i)(x_{i+1} y_i + x_j y_{i+1} - x_j y_i - x_i y_{i+1})}{(x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 + (y_{i+1} - y_i)^2}. \tag{30b}$$

In Fig. 4(d), the optimal position of the mobile base station (x, y) is the intersection point of two circles $\mathcal{C}_j$ and $\mathcal{C}_k$ and one edge $\mathcal{E}_i$. The distance from (x, y) to (x_j, y_j) and (x_k, y_k) are the same and (x, y) is on $\mathcal{E}_i$. For four indexes $i, i+1, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$ where $j \neq k$, the coordinate of the mobile base station is:

$$x = \frac{(x_{i+1} - x_i)(x_k^2 - x_j^2 + y_k^2 - y_j^2) + 2(y_k - y_j)(x_i y_{i+1} - x_{i+1} y_i)}{2(y_k - y_j)(y_{i+1} - y_i) + 2(x_k - x_j)(x_{i+1} - x_i)} \tag{31a}$$

$$y = \frac{(y_{i+1} - y_i)(x_k^2 - x_j^2 + y_k^2 - y_j^2) + 2(x_k - x_j)(x_{i+1} y_i - x_i y_{i+1})}{2(y_k - y_j)(y_{i+1} - y_i) + 2(x_k - x_j)(x_{i+1} - x_i)}. \tag{31b}$$

The candidate position with the minimum maximum distance to all vertices is the optimal position of the mobile base station.

Algorithm 3 Computing the optimal position of the mobile base station with place restrictions

1: **Input:** (x_i, y_i) for $i \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$.
2: **Output:** (x, y).
3: Find the candidate positions using (26), (27) and delete the ones inside the polygon.
4: Find the candidate positions using (30), (31) and delete the ones outside the polygon.
5: Compute the maximum distance to all vertices for each candidate position using (28).
6: **Return** (x, y) which is the coordinate of the candidate position with the minimum maximum distance to all vertices.

The numerical method computes the optimal position of the mobile base station outside or



Fig. 5. The optimal position of the mobile base station to cover the convex polygon.

on the boundary of the polygon as Algorithm 3. The complexity is the comparison of no more than $\frac{2}{3}M^3 + \frac{1}{2}M^2 - \frac{19}{6}M$ candidate positions satisfying (26), (27), (30) or (31) where $M \geq 3$.

C. Relay-Assisted Coverage

The optimal position of the mobile base station maximizes the minimum SNR of any point over the entire region. But due to the end-to-end data rate requirement, part of the polygon may be beyond the coverage of the mobile base station. When the destination locates at the area beyond the coverage of the mobile base station, the minimum number of relays are deployed along the line segment connecting the mobile base station and the destination.

Using Algorithm 1, we first compute the optimal positions of the relays for maximizing the reach to the destination as the number of relays increases. Once the maximum reach is larger than or equal to the distance between the mobile base station and the destination, the number of relays is the minimum one and the relays are deployed at the optimal positions.

We caution that, with relays, the goal here is limited to reaching any point in the region, rather than covering the entire region at the same time.

D. Discussions

For the nonconvex polygon, the optimal position of the mobile base station could be inside, outside or on the boundary of the polygon. But the convex polygon satisfies *Proposition 5*.

TABLE I
MAIN SIMULATION PARAMETERS

Parameter	Value
Transmit power of the base station	P dBm
Transmit power of the relays	$P-3$ dBm
Transmit power of the destination	$P-6$ dBm
Total bandwidth W	9 MHz
Data rate requirement B, C	2 Mbps
SNR threshold γ, τ	20 dB
Path loss $Ad^{-\alpha}$	$-15.3-37.6\log_{10}(d)$ dB, d in meters
Power spectral density of Gaussian noise σ^2	-174 dBm/Hz

Proposition 5. *For the convex polygon, the optimal position of the mobile base station can not be outside the polygon.*

Proof: If the optimal position of the mobile base station is outside the convex polygon as marked by a star in Fig. 5, there exists at least one edge of the polygon, such that the star and the polygon locate at the different sides of the edge. We draw an orthogonal line from the star to the edge and mark the intersection point by a square. The maximum distance to all vertices from the square is smaller than that from the star, so that the square is a better position of the base station which contradicts the assumption. Therefore, the optimal position of the mobile base station can not be outside the convex polygon. ■

Therefore, for the convex polygon without place restrictions, the optimal position of the mobile base station is inside or on the boundary of the polygon. For the convex polygon with place restrictions, the optimal position of the mobile base station is on the boundary of the polygon.

VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The simulation parameters chosen according to LTE standards [33] are listed in TABLE I.



Fig. 6. The optimal distance tuple as the transmit power increases with one relay under time division and frequency division.

A. The Deployment of Relays

In the case of the deployment of relays, the optimal distance tuple is computed which maximizes the reach to the destination subject to the end-to-end data rate requirement.

The optimal distance tuple as the transmit power increases with one relay under time division and frequency division are shown in Fig. 6. With fixed transmit power, d_1 is larger than d_2 since the transmit power of the base station is larger than that of the destination. As discussed in Section III-B, when the transmit power of each device is the constraint, the end-to-end data rate under time division is smaller than that under frequency division. Then $d_1 + d_2$ under time division is smaller than that under frequency division. With the optimal distance tuple, the optimal positions of the relays are straightforward. For example, when $P = 20$ dBm, the maximum reach to the destination is 341 meters under time division and 493 meters under frequency division. The optimal position of the relay is 192 meters from the base station under time division and 277 meters from the base station under frequency division.

As *Proposition 3* shows, substituting the simulation parameters into (23), the number of relays $K - 1$ can not exceed 9. The optimal distance tuple as the number of relays increases under time division and frequency division when $P = 20$ dBm are shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. When



Fig. 7. The optimal distance tuple as the number of relays increases under time division when $P = 20$ dBm.



Fig. 8. The optimal distance tuple as the number of relays increases under frequency division when $P = 20$ dBm.

the number of relays is small, the maximum reach to the destination increases with the number of relays. Beyond 6 under time division and 7 under frequency division, deploying more relays not only does not provide further improvement, but decreases the maximum reach. Even with redundant relays, the best choice is to deploy 6 relays to extend the maximum reach to 622 meters under time division, and 7 relays to extend the maximum reach to 1289 meters under



Fig. 9. The optimal positions of the mobile base station without place restrictions and the relay under time division and frequency division when $P = 20$ dBm.

frequency division.

B. The Deployment of the Mobile Base Station

In the case of the deployment of the mobile base station, the polygon is a quadrilateral whose four vertices are $(0, 350)$, $(300, 650)$, $(500, 600)$, and $(600, 300)$ in meters. The optimal position of the mobile base station is computed, which maximizes the minimum SNR of any point over the entire region.

When the mobile base station could be deployed anywhere, the optimal position of the mobile base station is $(368, 304)$ in meters as marked by a star in Fig. 9. The distance from the mobile base station to the vertices of the polygon are 304, 282, 304 and 304 meters. As shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8, with the same end-to-end data rate requirement, the coverage of the mobile base station is 204 meters under time division and 245 meters under frequency division when $P = 20$ dBm. When the destination locates at the area beyond the coverage of the mobile base station, one relay is deployed along the line segment connecting the mobile base station and the destination to extend the coverage to 341 meters under time division, and 493 meters under frequency division. The relay is on the dash chord which is 192 meters from the mobile base



Fig. 10. The optimal positions of the mobile base station outside or on the boundary of the polygon and the relay under time division and frequency division when $P = 20$ dBm.

station under time division, and on the solid chord which is 277 meters from the mobile base station under frequency division.

When the mobile base station shall be deployed outside or on the boundary of the polygon, the optimal position of the mobile base station is $(325, 323)$ in meters as marked by a star in Fig. 10. The distance from the mobile base station to the vertices of the polygon are 326, 328, 328 and 276 meters. Similarly, when the destination locates at the area beyond the coverage of the mobile base station, one relay is deployed on the dash chord which is 192 meters from the mobile base station under time division, and on the solid chord which is 277 meters from the mobile base station under frequency division.

VII. Conclusion

In this paper, we study the optimal positions of multiple relays and a mobile base station for maximizing the reach of a wireless network under time division and frequency division. When the number of relays is small, the maximum reach increases with the number of relays. Beyond a certain number, deploying more relays does not provide further improvement due to the end-to-end data rate requirement. The optimal position of the mobile base station maximizes the minimum SNR of any point in a polygon. Due to the end-to-end data rate requirement,

relays may be deployed to reach any point in the polygon. Maximizing the reach of the wireless network using spectrum sharing scheme and providing full coverage to a given area are left for future work, where interference and scheduling are crucial to the wireless coverage.

REFERENCES

[1] T. Doumi, M. F. Dolan, S. Tatesh, A. Casati, G. Sirtsis, K. Anchan, D. Flore, "LTE for public safety networks", *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 106-112, Feb. 2013.

[2] M. Charitos, G. Kalivas, "Heterogeneous hybrid vehicular WiMAX-WiFi network for in-tunnel surveillance implementations", *IEEE International Conference on Communications*, pp. 6386-6390, Budapest, Hungary, Jun. 2013.

[3] R. Ferrus, O. Sallent, G. Baldini, L. Goratti, "LTE: the technology driver for future public safety communications", *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 51, no. 10, pp. 154-161, Oct. 2013.

[4] G. Baldini, S. Karanasios, D. Allen, F. Vergari, "Survey of Wireless Communication Technologies for Public Safety", *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 619-641, Second Quarter 2014.

[5] H. Shajaiah, A. Abdel-Hadi, C. Clancy, "Spectrum sharing between public safety and commercial users in 4G-LTE", *International Conference on Computing, Networking and Communications*, pp. 674-679, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, Feb. 2014.

[6] R. Pabst, B. H. Walke, D. C. Schultz, P. Herhold, H. Yanikomeroglu, S. Mukherjee, G. P. Fettweis, "Relay-based deployment concepts for wireless and mobile broadband radio", *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 42, no. 9, pp. 80-89, Sep. 2004.

[7] N. Banerjee, M. D. Corner, D. Towsley, B. N. Levine, "Relays, base stations, and meshes: enhancing mobile networks with infrastructure", *In Proceedings of the 14th ACM international conference on Mobile computing and networking*, pp. 81-91, San Francisco, CA, USA, Sep. 2008.

[8] X. Chen, D. Guo, J. Grosspietsch, "The public safety broadband network: A novel architecture with mobile base stations", *IEEE International Conference on Communications*, pp. 3328-3332, Budapest, Hungary, Jun. 2013.

[9] Y. Rong, "Optimal linear non-regenerative multi-link MIMO relays with MMSE-DFE receiver at the destination", *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 2268-2279, Jul. 2010.

[10] M. Bacha, S. A. Hassan, "Performance analysis of linear cooperative multi-hop networks subject to composite shadowing-fading", *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 5850-5858, Nov. 2013.

[11] V. A. Aalo, G. P. Efthymoglou, T. Soithong, M. Alwakeel, S. Alwakeel, "Performance analysis of multi-hop amplify-and-forward relaying systems in Rayleigh fading channels with a Poisson interference field", *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 24-35, Jan. 2014.

[12] L. Song, D. Niyato, Z. Han, E. Hossain, "Game-theoretic resource allocation methods for device-to-device communication", *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 136-144, Jun. 2014.

[13] J. O'rourke, "Art gallery theorems and algorithms", vol. 1092. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1987.

[14] R. Kershner, "The number of circles covering a set", *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 61, pp. 665-671, 1939.

[15] Z. Yun, X. Bai, D. Xuan, T. H. Lai, W. Jia, "Optimal deployment patterns for full coverage and k-connectivity $(k \leq 6)$ wireless sensor networks", *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 934-947, Jun. 2010.

[16] T. M. Cheng, A. V. Savkin, "Decentralized control of mobile sensor networks for asymptotically optimal blanket coverage between two boundaries", *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 365-376, Feb. 2013.

[17] S. Misra, S. D. Hong, G. Xue, J. Tang, "Constrained relay node placement in wireless sensor networks: formulation and approximations", *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking* vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 434-447, Apr. 2010.

[18] J. Y. Lee, J. H. Seok, J. J. Lee, "Multiobjective optimization approach for sensor arrangement in a complex indoor environment", *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C: Applications and Reviews*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 174-186, Mar. 2012.

[19] C. F. Cheng, K. T. Tsai, "Distributed barrier coverage in wireless visual sensor networks with β-QoM", *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 1726-1735, Jun. 2012.

[20] J. W. Lee, J. J. Lee, "Ant-colony-based scheduling algorithm for energy-efficient coverage of WSN", *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 3036-3046, Oct. 2012.

[21] J. Chen, L. Zhang, Y. Kuo, "Coverage-enhancing algorithm based on overlap-sense ratio in wireless multimedia sensor networks", *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 2077-2083, Jun. 2013.

[22] J. H. Seok, J. Y. Lee, W. Kim, J. J. Lee, "A bipopulation-based evolutionary algorithm for solving full area coverage problems", *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 4796-4807, Dec. 2013.

[23] J. Pan, L. Cai, Y. T. Hou, Y. Shi, S. X. Shen, "Optimal base-station locations in two-tiered wireless sensor networks", *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 458-473, Aug. 2005.

[24] Q. Wang, K. Xu, G. Takahara, H. Hassanein, "On lifetime-oriented device provisioning in heterogeneous wireless sensor networks: approaches and challenges", *IEEE Network*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 26-33, Jun. 2006.

[25] F. Wang, D. Wang, J. Liu, "Traffic-aware relay node deployment: maximizing lifetime for data collection wireless sensor networks", *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 1415-1423, Aug. 2011.

[26] A. K. Sadek, Z. Han, K. R. Liu, "Distributed relay-assignment protocols for coverage expansion in cooperative wireless networks", *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 505-515, Apr. 2010.

[27] H. Feng, L. J. Cimini, "On optimum relay deployment in a multi-hop linear network with cooperation", *IEEE Military Communications conference* pp. 1-6, Orlando, FL, USA, Oct. 29-Nov. 1, 2012.

[28] V. N. Q. Bao, T. T. Thanh, T. D. Nguyen, T. D. Vu, "Spectrum sharing-based multi-hop decode-and-forward relay networks under interference constraints: performance analysis and relay position optimization", *The Journal of Communications and Networks*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 266-275, Jun. 2013.

[29] B. Lin, P. H. Ho, L. L. Xie, X. Shen, J. Tapolcai, "Optimal relay station placement in broadband wireless access networks", *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 259-269, Feb. 2010.

[30] G. Joshi, A. Karandikar, "Optimal relay placement for cellular coverage extension," *National Conference on Communications*, pp. 1-5, Bangalore, Karnataka, India, Jan. 2011.

[31] IEEE Standard Definitions of Terms for Radio Wave Propagation, *IEEE Std 211-1997*, 1998.

[32] S. Boyd, L. Vandenberghe, *Convex Optimization*, 2004: Cambridge Univ. Press.

[33] *3GPP TR 36.931 version 11.0.0 Release 11*, Sep. 2012.